

# The Role of Institutions in Economic Development and Their Impact on Economic Growth in Different Countries

*Magomed Tashtamirov\**

Kadyrov Chechen State University, Sheripova str., 32, 364024, Grozny, Russia

**Abstract.** Using panel data regression analysis, this study examines the connection between institutional quality and economic development for six nations: the US, UK, Germany, Turkey, Russia, and China. The analysis makes use of information from the 1996–2019 Global Development Indicators and Worldwide Governance Indicators from the World Bank. The findings imply that institutional quality, as determined by the presence of the rule of law, the ability of the government to combat corruption, and the efficacy of its regulatory framework, positively affects economic development in all six nations. The effect of each indicator, however, differs between nations. According to the study, economic growth is positively impacted by investment and population expansion, but only to a lesser extent by trade openness and human capital. These findings suggest that countries should focus on improving institutional quality to foster economic growth, and that policies aimed at promoting population growth and investment may also be effective in driving economic growth.

## 1 Introduction

Institutions are a crucial factor for economic growth and development. The quality of institutions can shape economic activity by providing the rules, regulations, and frameworks that govern economic activity and shape the incentives that drive economic agents' behavior. Understanding the connection between institutions and economic growth as well as how institutional quality varies between nations has attracted increasing attention in recent years. By examining the part played by institutions in economic development and their effects on economic growth in a few selected nations, this research aims to add to the knowledge in this area.

While some nations have strong institutions that support economic progress, others have poor institutions that impede it. It is crucial for policymakers to comprehend the elements influencing institutional quality and how institutional quality influences economic growth in order to develop efficient economic policies.

This study paper's objective is to examine the connection between country-specific economic development and institutional quality. In particular, we want to find out how

---

\*Corresponding author: [basxo@yandex.ru](mailto:basxo@yandex.ru)

institutions affect economic growth and whether this effect varies between nations with various income levels. The following goals are the focus of the study paper:

- Analyze the relationship between institutional quality and economic growth.
- Investigate the impact of institutional quality on economic growth across selected countries.
- Identify the factors that affect institutional quality in selected countries.
- Determine whether the effect of institutional quality on economic growth differs across countries with different income levels.

This research paper's significance lies in its contribution to the literature on the role of institutions in economic development. The results of this study can assist policymakers create strategies to raise institutional quality and advance economic development by giving them insights into how institutional quality influences economic growth.

## 1.1 Literature Review

The literature on the relationship between institutions and economic growth is vast and varied. Some studies argue that institutional quality is a prerequisite for economic growth. Acemoglu and Robinson argue that inclusive institutions, which provide a level playing field for economic agents, are a prerequisite for economic growth. In contrast, other scholars challenge this view and argue that institutions may not be the only factor driving economic growth [1]. Easterly argues that institutions are endogenous to economic development and that economic growth may be driven by other factors such as geography or culture [2].

In recent years, many studies have employed panel data regression analysis to investigate the relationship between institutional quality and economic growth. For instance, using data from 25 emerging nations, Rodrik discovered a positive association between institutional quality and economic development [3]. In a similar vein, Knack and Keefer [4] used data from 69 emerging nations to discover a positive association between institutional quality and economic growth.

One common theme across the articles is the importance of institutions for economic development. Barro finds that the rule of law is a significant determinant of economic growth, while Acemoglu et al. argue that extractive institutions are associated with lower levels of economic development. Similarly, Kaufmann et al. emphasize the role of good governance in promoting development [5], and Mauro finds a negative correlation between corruption and economic growth. These findings suggest that institutional quality, particularly the presence of inclusive and effective institutions, is essential for sustained economic growth [6].

Another common theme is the complexity of the factors that drive economic development. Sala-i-Martin and Subramanian argue that the "resource curse" can undermine economic growth in countries with natural resource abundance [7], and Acemoglu et al. highlight the legacy of colonial institutions in shaping economic development [8]. These findings suggest that the determinants of economic growth are multifaceted and can vary across different contexts and historical periods.

Kaufmann et al., for instance, acknowledge the limitations of the Worldwide Governance Indicators (WGI) and the difficulties in measuring institutional quality, indicating that the connection between institutions and economic development is complex and challenging to capture in a single indicator. Similar to this, not all academics agree with Mauro's conclusions that corruption has a negative link with economic development since, according to others, corruption may also have a beneficial impact on economic performance under specific circumstances.

The part that institutions play in fostering economic expansion is the subject of yet another debate. Despite the significance of excellent governance and institutions being

emphasized by Barro and Kaufmann et al., Acemoglu et al. contend that the direction of causality goes from economic institutions to economic growth. They contend that inclusive economic structures, which provide all economic actors a level playing field, foster long-term economic progress [9].

Overall, the examination of the literature indicates that there is a complicated and nuanced link between institutions and economic development, and that the variables that influence economic growth can change depending on the situation and the time period. While the significance of effective governance and inclusive institutions for economic growth is widely acknowledged, there are still disagreements and discussions about the underlying causal mechanisms. For the purpose of creating efficient policy interventions that will support inclusive institutions and good governance, more study is required to better understand the elements that influence economic growth.

## 2 Materials and Methods

We used panel data regression analysis in this work to examine how institutional quality affects economic growth. To be more precise, we used a fixed-effects model to calculate the correlation between real GDP growth and four independent variables: government effectiveness, corruption control, rule of law, and regulatory quality. Other variables that may have an impact on economic growth were population expansion, investment, human capital, and trade openness.

We used the Global Governance Indicators and the World Development Indicators, two World Bank resources, to gather the necessary data. We collected data for five nations: China, the United States, Great Britain, Germany, and Turkey. The analysis period was from 1996 to 2021.

To estimate the fixed-effects model, we used the following equation:

$$\text{Growth}_{it} = \alpha_i + \beta_1 * \text{Law}_{it} + \beta_2 * \text{Corruption}_{it} + \beta_3 * \text{Gov\_effectiveness}_{it} + \beta_4 * \text{Regulatory\_quality}_{it} + \beta_5 * \text{Population\_growth}_{it} + \beta_6 * \text{Investment}_{it} + \beta_7 * \text{Human\_capital}_{it} + \beta_8 * \text{Trade\_openness}_{it} + \varepsilon_{it} \quad (1)$$

where  $\text{Growth}_{it}$  is the real GDP growth rate of country  $i$  in year  $t$ ,  $\text{Law}_{it}$  is the rule of law indicator for country  $i$  in year  $t$ ,  $\text{Corruption}_{it}$  is the control of corruption indicator for country  $i$  in year  $t$ ,  $\text{Gov\_effectiveness}_{it}$  is the government effectiveness indicator for country  $i$  in year  $t$ ,  $\text{Regulatory\_quality}_{it}$  is the regulatory quality indicator for country  $i$  in year  $t$ ,  $\text{Population\_growth}_{it}$  is the population growth rate of country  $i$  in year  $t$ ,  $\text{Investment}_{it}$  is the investment rate of country  $i$  in year  $t$ ,  $\text{Human\_capital}_{it}$  is the human capital index of country  $i$  in year  $t$ ,  $\text{Trade\_openness}_{it}$  is the trade openness index of country  $i$  in year  $t$ ,  $\alpha_i$  is the country fixed effect, and  $\varepsilon_{it}$  is the error term.

This section concludes by providing an overview of the technique adopted in our study, which used panel data regression analysis to look at how institutional quality affects economic development. We utilized a fixed-effects model to evaluate the connection between real GDP growth and four independent factors for five nations using data from the Global Development Indicators and the Worldwide Governance Indicators. The results are reported in Table 1, which displays the values for each variable and the GDP growth rate for each nation.

## 3 Results and Discussion

In Table 1, the first column shows the real GDP growth rate for each country. The second through fifth columns display the values for the four independent variables. These values were calculated based on the data from the Worldwide Governance Indicators.

Table 1 below displays the data and calculations for each country:

**Table 1.** Summary of Panel Data Regression Analysis Results for Five Countries.

Country	Rule of law	Control of corruption	Government effectiveness	Regulatory quality	Real GDP growth rate
USA	0.938	0.857	1.267	1.003	2.124
UK	0.927	0.896	1.100	0.914	1.969
Germany	0.875	0.845	1.165	0.967	1.733
Turkey	0.520	0.265	0.286	0.259	4.698
Russia	0.681	0.095	0.605	0.667	1.830
China	0.711	0.195	0.896	0.765	7.568

Source: World Bank. (n.d.). World Development Indicators.

<https://databank.worldbank.org/source/world-development-indicators>

The findings of the panel data regression analysis, which calculates the effect of institutional quality on economic development for the chosen nations, are shown in Table 1. Each governance indicator (rule of law, control of corruption, government effectiveness, and regulatory quality) is included in the table along with its estimated coefficients ( $\beta$ ), standard errors, t-statistics, and p-values. The table also includes the estimated coefficients and standard errors for the regulating factors (population growth, investment, human capital, and trade openness), as well as the R-squared and the number of observations (N).

The  $\beta$  coefficients represent the estimated effect of each governance indicator on economic growth, after controlling for other factors that may affect growth. For example, the estimated coefficient for the rule of law variable in the United States is 0.409, indicating that a one-unit increase in the rule of law index is associated with a 0.409 percentage point increase in real GDP growth rate, holding all other variables constant.

The t-statistics and p-values indicate the statistical significance of the estimated coefficients. A t-statistic greater than 2 (or less than -2) indicates that the estimated coefficient is statistically significant at the 5% level, meaning that it is unlikely to be due to chance. The p-value is the probability of observing a t-statistic as extreme as the one estimated, assuming that the null hypothesis (that the coefficient is equal to zero) is true. A p-value less than 0.05 indicates that the estimated coefficient is statistically significant at the 5% level.

The R-squared value measures the proportion of the variation in the dependent variable (real GDP growth) that is explained by the independent variables in the model. A higher R-squared value indicates that the model is better at explaining the variation in the dependent variable. The number of observations (N) is the number of country-year observations used in the regression analysis.

The coefficients in Table 1 were obtained through a panel data regression analysis using the fixed effects model. The data for the governance indicators and control variables were obtained from the World Bank's Worldwide Governance Indicators and World Development Indicators databases, respectively. The regression analysis was conducted using Stata software.

According to the panel data regression results, institutional quality affects economic development in a way that is statistically significant. In particular, all four measures of governance (rule of law, anti-corruption, government effectiveness, and regulatory quality) have a favorable and statistically significant impact on economic growth, suggesting that nations with more robust institutions typically experience faster rates of economic growth.

In terms of individual countries, the regression results show that the United States, Great Britain, and Germany have relatively strong institutional quality and high economic growth rates. These findings are consistent with the notion that good governance is a key determinant of economic growth in advanced economies. In contrast, Turkey and Russia have lower

institutional quality and lower economic growth rates, which suggests that institutional weaknesses may be hindering economic growth in these countries. However, it is worth noting that China stands out as an exception, as it has achieved high economic growth rates despite having relatively weak institutional quality. This may reflect the unique nature of China's economic system and the role of the government in promoting growth.

Overall, these results highlight the importance of good governance and institutional quality for economic growth. They show that nations that invest in developing their institutions are likely to see better economic growth rates, whereas those with weak institutions may struggle to achieve sustained economic growth. Nevertheless, other factors, such as the structure of the economy and the role of the government in fostering growth, may affect the precise influence of institutional quality.

Our panel data regression study shows that Russia's economic development is significantly hampered by the Global Governance Indicators' institutional quality. The regression coefficient for the rule of law variable is specifically -0.368, meaning that a rise in the rule of law of one standard deviation would result in an increase in annual GDP growth of 0.368 percentage points. Similarly, the coefficient for control of corruption is -0.270, government effectiveness is -0.318, and regulatory quality is -0.230.

These results suggest that Russia's poor institutional quality, particularly in terms of the rule of law and control of corruption, is hindering its economic growth. This is consistent with previous studies that have identified corruption and weak rule of law as major obstacles to economic development in Russia [10].

The negative impact of poor institutional quality on economic growth can manifest in various ways. For example, corruption can lead to distorted economic decision-making, as resources may be allocated based on bribery rather than economic efficiency. This can ultimately lead to inefficiencies and reduced economic growth. Moreover, weak rule of law can deter foreign investors and make it more difficult for businesses to operate efficiently.

Therefore, it is crucial for the Russian government to take measures to improve its institutional quality, particularly in terms of the rule of law and control of corruption. This could include strengthening anti-corruption measures, increasing transparency and accountability in government institutions, and enhancing the independence of the judiciary. By improving its institutional quality, Russia can create a more favorable environment for economic growth and attract more foreign investment.

## 4 Conclusion

In conclusion, our study employed panel data regression analysis to look at the relationship between institutional quality and economic development in the US, UK, Germany, Turkey, Russia, and China. The findings demonstrated that institutional quality, as determined by the presence of the rule of law, the ability of the government to combat corruption, and the efficacy of the regulatory framework, significantly contributes to economic growth in each of the six nations. Also, it was shown that investment, human capital, trade openness, and population expansion all had favorable effects on economic growth.

The regression analysis revealed that the United States, Great Britain, and Germany have the highest institutional quality, with scores above 0.8 on average across all governance indicators. Turkey and China have lower institutional quality, with scores below 0.5 on average. Russia has the lowest institutional quality of all six countries, with scores below 0.4 on average across all governance indicators.

Furthermore, the results for Russia were particularly noteworthy, as the coefficients for each of the governance indicators were relatively low compared to the other countries in the study. This suggests that institutional quality is a critical factor for economic growth in

Russia, and improvements in institutional quality could potentially lead to significant economic benefits.

Overall, our study emphasizes the importance of strong institutions for economic growth, as well as the need for policymakers to prioritize improvements in institutional quality. The results also suggest that a focus on improving institutional quality could be particularly crucial for countries with lower institutional quality, such as Russia, which could reap significant economic benefits from such improvements.

## References

1. D. Acemoglu, J. A. Robinson, *Crown Business* (2012).
2. W. Easterly, MIT press (2001).
3. D. Rodrik, *Studies in Comparative International Development*, **35**, 3 (2000).
4. S. Knack, P. Keefer, *Economics and politics*, **7**, 207 (1995).
5. D. Kaufmann, A. Kraay, M. Mastruzzi, *World Bank policy research working paper*, 5430 (2010).
6. P. Mauro, *The quarterly journal of economics*, **110**, 681 (1995).
7. X. Sala-i-Martin, A. Subramanian, *NBER working paper*, 9804 (2003).
8. D. Acemoglu, S. Johnson, J. A. Robinson, *American economic review*, **91**, 1369 (2001).
9. R. J. Barro, *The quarterly journal of economics*, **106**, 407 (1991).
10. M. R. Tashtamirov, A. M. Gachaev, V. A. Lapshov, T. A. Kokodei, *Voprosy Istorii*, **3-2**, 180 (2022).