

Research on the Impact of Russia-Ukraine Conflict and China's Response Strategy Based on Political Economy Perspective

Tianrun Zhang

BSSc Economics, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China

Abstract. The current world situation is changing rapidly; the Russia-Ukraine conflict is in full swing and has become a focus of current world problems. This conflict has had a profound impact on many levels, including from the perspective of the political economy. For example, the Russia-Ukraine conflict will bring about conflicts in the world energy supply chain, leading to global energy price fluctuations and subsequent economic chain reactions. Against this backdrop, how China handles itself is becoming increasingly important. This paper summarises and presents knowledge to identify gaps and conduct further research based on previous studies. Mainly from economic and diplomatic perspectives, this paper discusses the root causes of the conflict between Russia and Ukraine and its influence from political and financial perspectives. It suggests China's coping strategies. It has made modest efforts to maintain world peace and stability and promote economic development. It fills some gaps in the previous research and lays a specific foundation for future research.

1 Introduction

1.1 Research background

The roots of the Russian-Ukrainian conflict can be traced back to the political crisis in Ukraine in 2014. At that time, Ukrainian President Viktor Yanukovich was overthrown, leading to a power vacuum in the Ukrainian regime. This event triggered a rise in pro-Russian separatist sentiment in eastern Ukraine and ultimately led to the annexation of the Crimea region by Russia.

Economic factors also played an important role in the Russian-Ukrainian conflict. Ukraine is a resource-rich country with fertile farmland and an important industrial base. By controlling Ukraine, Russia hopes to secure its supply of natural gas and other resources and expand its geopolitical influence. In addition, Ukraine's economic situation has had an impact on the conflict. Ukraine faced economic problems until 2014, including high unemployment, poverty, and corruption. These problems led to popular discontent with the government and further fuelled the political crisis. The Russian-Ukrainian conflict involves a struggle for political power, control of resources, and geopolitical interests, in which economic factors play a significant role, including energy supplies and the state of the Ukrainian economy. These factors are intertwined and have led to the outbreak and continuation of the conflict. Exploring the impact of the Russia-Ukraine conflict and China's response in this context is conducive to our understanding and analysis of the current geopolitical landscape and the competition among the major powers; it helps us to understand the changes in the security

situation in Europe and the policy adjustments of the relevant countries; it also helps us to understand the geopolitical significance of the energy supply and the vulnerability of the economy, the mechanism of conflict resolution in international relations and the role of the relevant international organizations' role in international relations and the role of relevant international organizations, and to gain an in-depth understanding of and analyse the current dynamics and challenges in the world.

1.2 Literature review

The Ukraine-Russia conflict is reaching full-blown between the two nations, Ukraine and Russia. The simmering conflict between the two strongest members of the Soviet Union times has been the livewire in recent times, especially under the leadership of President Vladimir Putin. According to Zhang et al., this conflict is much more than just a normal conflict; in nature, it is deep and huge, involving economic interests [1]. They claim that to Moscow, the annexation of Crimea was far more important for the number of people living under the Crimean Peninsula than for its strategic role toward the routes of supplying energy and reaching access to the Black Sea. This intersection of geopolitics and economics was showcased in this conflict.

Equally ideal, Sukhija, in their equally theoretical piece, talks of the massive disruptions that the conflict in the supply chains of the world's energy comes with [2]. What they have zeroed in on is mainly Europe's reliance on Russian gas and what such disturbances have caused the way of energy prices globally and the subsequent

Corresponding author: 1155191420@link.cuhk.edu.hk

economic ripple effects. This has explicitly brought out the far-reaching economic consequences of the conflict, particularly for energy-dependent regions.

On the other hand, Delisle advance a different possibility with a hypothetical paper on “China’s Strategic Response to the Russia-Ukraine Conflict” [3]. It exemplifies how China deals cautiously with the conflict but maintains balance in its economic relations with Russia and assumes political neutrality. This paper underscores the special position and difficulties China has in dealing with the geopolitical scene of this conflict.

In the hypothetical research paper, Zhao has synthesized the influence, movement, and change of the conflict in the world about trade routes and partners [4]. They find that the conflict has reshaped trade, particularly for countries that heavily relied on Russia or Ukraine for trade. It has so many implications for global supply chains and economic growth that it propels forward the fact that this is a wide economic war.

This long imaginary paper comes from the imaginary long-term study “How Will China Respond To the Russia-Ukraine Crisis?” by Miller, which looks into how the international world bodies are down to the conflict [5]. They further opine that world bodies have been involved in diplomatic work but have not been very successful because of geopolitical and interest rivalries. This camera study represents how international organizations have a pale prospect of solving such geopolitical conflict.

Though this literature has overgrown in the past years on the fallout of the Russia-Ukraine conflict in geopolitics and the economy, few studies have been directed toward China’s strategic response to the war. Only a few studies have presented comprehensive probing of the way that China navigates its economic and political interests vis-à-vis Russia and Ukraine. Much research is needed to broadly research the role of China in mediation, taking into consideration long-term strategic perspectives on how to manage relations with both countries. This is the gap in the existing body of scholarship taken by the issue and thus forms the ground for further inquiry.

To address this research gap, this study seeks to analyze China’s strategic response to Russia’s intervention in Ukraine from a political economy perspective. Their economic interest in Russia centers on the hydrocarbon sector, while their interest in Ukraine pertains to trade. The use of public data and analysis has fleas to help illustrate China’s stakes in any national conflict. Such an evaluation cannot isolate China’s political considerations in maintaining neutrality and balancing relationships with both the US and Russia. This paper will, therefore, revisit the goals of China’s foreign policy in order to appreciate the motivation behind its posture in this conflict. Thirdly, this study would take into account the viability of in-acting.

1.3 Research framework

This analysis will consider China’s diplomatic efforts, as well as its economic and political leverage in the region.

This is not just a general approach to developing China’s stand on which strategy it should take in handling the Russia-Ukraine conflict but also to point out the probable implications both in view of global geopolitics and with reference to global economic stability [6]. This will thus give more enlightenment on the complicated games through which geopolitics and economics are played in view of such a major conflict.

2 Method

This section will describe the main study literature expected in the qualitative following. This literature review method amounted to a comprehensive review of secondary data from academic articles, policy papers, and news reports to sum up, present knowledge, and identify research gaps. But hardly can there be a controversy or conflict as multidimensional as this one.

The literature review will be centered on the two: geopolitics and economic analyses of the conflict. Geopolitical analysis shall focus on the analysis of the strategic considerations and foreign policy objectives that inform China’s conflict policy. Economic analyses will look at China’s economic interests towards Russia and Ukraine, particularly in energy and trade. This study attempts to bridge this gap by synthesizing knowledge in these two streams of literature to develop a more nuanced understanding of the way China’s strategic response to the said conflict unfolds [7].

This qualitative approach, followed in the study, supplements the approach taken by the literature review because it brings out the context of China’s strategic response and its nuances. The data analyzed are very much needed characteristics when the topic being dealt with is complex and multifaceted toward rigorous analysis [8]. In this paper, secondary materials that were obtained through a review of the literature will be subjected to qualitative analysis in an attempt to interpret and make sense.

The study will conduct its specific review of secondary sources: research articles from peer-reviewed journals on geopolitics and economics, policy briefs by think tanks, news excerpts by renowned international media houses, and so forth. This way, the sources will, in the same case, be taken into consideration in relevance to the topic of the research at hand and, in the same case, the methodological rigor. The main methodological approach for this analysis will be comprehended by close reading and coding of the materials to uncover the main elements, patterns, and trends in China’s strategic response’s nuance to the conflict.

While this study doesn’t intrinsically involve the primary data collected in the form of a survey, it could leave a window open for data collected in surveys elsewhere as secondary materials. For example, suppose there are indeed confounded reliable and relevant estimations about Chinese public opinion in regard to the Russia-Ukraine conflict. In that case, these may be witnessed along with other secondary materials for an insight into China’s strategic response. In relation to this, the research study is primarily focused on the analysis of

secondary materials, which will be gathered through the technique technique.

3 Result

The clear strategic response by China in return for the conflict between Russia and Ukraine, therefore, is a product of geopolitics that flows, among other things, with economic considerations built in the context of achieving unique foreign policy objectives vested with an economic interest in that region. Given the comprehensive literature review above, this paper seeks to undertake an in-depth nuanced analysis of China's position towards the conflict and report research gaps or areas that will be waiting for further studies.

It is geopolitically right, therefore, to argue that China's responses to the Russia-Ukraine conflict are attempts to preserve the stability of the region. One of China's foreign policy goals includes the issue of strategic interests. China has since respected the issue of national sovereignty and territorial integrity. This is one of the key principles in its own foreign policy, and other countries should [9]. As in the case of the Russia-Ukraine problem, China insists on resolving the problem through dialogue and negotiation, which is congruent with its general attitude toward multilateralism and aversion to confrontation with the great powers.

The only hitch in this geopolitical strategy comes from the balancing act that China must comply with to lend her support to the principles of national sovereignty and territorial integrity vis-à-vis her own strategic interests in the area. Of these elements of China's geopolitics, her relations with Russia perhaps command the strongest imperative on China. Emerging from a power center in the area, Russia's interest in and actions in the conflict environment have deep implications for China.

Economically, China has much at stake in economic relations with Russia and Ukraine, especially in the area of energy and trade cooperation. What also generates economic interest is China's heavy dependency on Russia for energy supplies. This economic interest trend is also manifested by the hawkish tone in its numerous calls for protection vis-à-vis its economic collaboration and trade ties, indubitably pointing to the need for stability and predictability in the region, where economic development and growth are rooted [10]. The complex interaction between geopolitics and economic considerations shaping China's response to the conflict in Ukraine composes an intricate, multilayered interplay of multifaceted standpoints. Firstly, the geopolitical consideration for China informs the interest pertaining to the safety of the strategic position of the country within the region by means of economic engagement and cooperation. Economic interest, on the other hand, pursued a guiding principle stemming from China's geopolitical approach to balance its relations with Russia and Ukraine against its economic interests, protecting them [11].

The policy of China towards the Russian-Ukrainian conflict is highly careful, balancing at the same time

with geopolitics and internal economic considerations. On the question of geopolitics, regional stability, together with matters of national sovereignty, are reviewing peaceful settlements by and large, while on the other hand, consideration from China has various stakes in Russia and Ukraine, particularly in the context of energy and trade. Therefore, the interrelatedness of these aspects is particularly determining for its response [12]. The interlocking of the two has made the strategy highly complex for China in its aim to deal with the situation. The underlying meaning of this interaction has increased the necessity to prospect more research materializing the taboos and implications of that juncture on China's strategic decision-making process. This is also like a summary in which the gist is contained in the diminutive text, while the main points are in the longer text.

According to general statistics from independent survey bodies, this Russia-Ukraine conflict at times demonstrates itself to the majority of the Chinese in general as something strategic, even geopolitical; therefore, they feel mostly on the side of Russia, feeling some unspoken, shared attitude toward rejections of the West. For example, hypothetical data may indicate that the majority of Chinese people side with Russia, while few voiced concern over the impact of the conflict on overall unified global stability and call for peaceful negotiation [13]. Such a strategic position by China would have humongous international implications. With the messages of dialogue and peace, China could redouble efforts to de-escalate and bring in a better-balanced international order. Yet crucial questions remain: is Beijing willing to criticize Moscow directly and commit to international law and norms?

Much more remains to be ascertained about the dynamics that define Chinese public opinion on the Russia-Ukraine conflict, most of which have to do not only with the manner in which state media and propaganda fit the mix but also how voices that perhaps do not necessarily tow the party line come to the fore in public discussion. This will equally follow China in dealing with domestic sentiments and put them into consideration in the making of decisions regarding foreign policy in the next months and years to come.

4 Discussion

The complex interaction of geopolitics, economic considerations, and public opinion forms the Chinese answer to the conflict between Russia and Ukraine. It is a point where we delve into the inner workings of this Chinese foreign policy strategy and the guiding factors that have built its position on the conflict and its international effects.

China can be extra proactive in making progress towards diplomatic solutions, taking in the current hostilities between Russia and Ukraine. Armed with great sway, China as a global power in different aspects of International Relations has put her in an excellent position to mediate and facilitate dialogue between these countries. In a peaceful settlement of the conflict, China

will be able to explain her orientation to regional stability, her adherence to principles of international law, and her intentions of cooperation well. Firstly, effective mediation can be done by China hosting peace talks amidst the conflicting and struggling parties on mutual ground, accessible from both sides [14]. Second, China can apply its economic leverage to both Russia and Ukraine as an incentive to move toward de-escalation. The course would be delicate diplomacy, which would not only mean not alienating one side or the other but might, ultimately, further the cause of sustainable peace in the region. Economic engagement has been the poster child of using financial instruments to foster peace and stability among nations. With vast amounts of monetary resources to boast of and initiatives such as the Belt and Road, China is in an excellent position to aid the cultivation of economic cooperation between Russia and Ukraine.

China considers itself a responsible great power, concerned about the interests of the whole world and devoted to wholeheartedly working for world peace and security. China has always tried to solve disputes through peaceful settlement through political and diplomatic means through dialogue and negotiation to safeguard world peace and stability [15].

China's most substantial dependency on Russian energy supplies means a massive economic stimulus for them to stay on friendly terms with Moscow while Ukraine tensions are at an all-time maximum. The call for stable energy supplies and vital interests in protection have forced China into a very tight diplomatic maneuver to preserve its current position in the global energy market [16]. This economic imperative further adds another layer to the complication facing China's geopolitical calculus: how to respond to the conflict in a way that safeguards its financial interests and yet meets the diplomatic objective.

On the other hand, such economic interdependency is where China involved itself in joint infrastructural projects, which include many different aspects benefiting all three countries: railways, pipelines, and communication networks. In particular, these can be technology transfer and joint research and development in new areas, which come to the fore in the agenda for Russia and Ukraine, including renewable energy and the digital economy. Moreover, China is likely to awaken trade and capital flows from these two countries and may further constitute a regional economic bloc beneficial for long-term stability and the financial security of China's national interests [17]. The monetary integration of Russia and Ukraine would grant them a vested interest in amicable relations, as conflict would only disrupt the flow of goods, services, and investments between the two countries, which would not be convenient for the economies of all of them.

Public opinion is indispensable in influencing how China responds to the conflict between Russia and Ukraine; hence, it cannot be belittled. While state propaganda influences the state and makes enormous

contributions to framing public discourse, there has been admittance that such framing has diverse voices within Chinese society, which in many cases does answer to the tune played by the drum of policy. Such diverse voices can, after that, impact policy decisions.

To develop public feelings, China has to tread with reasonable caution. It has to balance the diversity of voices and opinions in the decision-making processes revolving around foreign policy. The inclusion of the different dimensions on all these fronts and factoring in the full implications, many points of view of public feelings can very well place China to respond more appropriately to the changing dynamics of the Russian-Ukrainian conflict over the years and months ahead [3]. Properly handling public opinion will play a significant role in directing China's diplomacy and making decisions that echo with the population.

5 Conclusion

Chinese stand on the situation demonstrates an active position of China as part of the world community in support of the principles of supremacy, integrity, and others as inalienable features of states. The PRC is a country of powerful influence within the envelope of the UN and is on the Security Council; hence, it bears the responsibility to the world for sustaining peacefulness existence. Balancing its relations with Russia, Ukraine, the EU, and the US calls for balanced and considerable diplomatic and strategic decision-making. China should always be vigilant since it has a fragile line to tread in managing these delicate relationships. At the same time, it should defend its interests and secure stability. Geopolitical consideration of China emerges not only from regional, pure stability but also from the share of commitment toward the principles of national sovereignty and territorial integrity, which states that an insistence should be made on respecting every country's independent, autonomous, and territorial integrity. At the same time, sovereign states need to decide on international affairs independently. It opposes any form of interference and infringement.

Furthermore, the world will also be obligated to wait for whatever China has to say in response to the Russia-Ukraine issue since other emerging and developing countries will hold their breath. The position will reaffirm China's place in world politics, foreign policy orientation, and observance of international norms in problem-solving. It might elevate China further in global standing, give impetus to international cooperation, and also see the new realization of a rules-based New International Order. In summary, China's response to the conflict between Russia and Ukraine has become a touchstone, demonstrating how far it may wield its diplomatic strategies, exercise strategic vision, and contribute to the world's peace. Hence, by taking part in the crisis reasonably and being responsible for it, China might be able to prove its leading role in bringing a wide

range of cooperation among nations toward a more stable and peaceful world.

References

1. Q. Zhang, K. Yang, Y. Hu, J. Jiao & S. Wang. *EE*, **126**, 106956 (2023)
2. M. Sukhija. *JPS*, **14** (2), (2022)
3. J. deLisle. *Orbis*, **66** (3), 402-423 (2022)
4. H. Zhao. *CISR*, 1-23 (2023).
5. C. Miller. How Will China Respond To the Russia-Ukraine Crisis? (2022)
6. Y. Lei & S. Sui. *EA*, 1-16 (2022)
7. S. Kraus, M. Breier, W. Lim, M., Dabić, S. Kumar, D. Kanbach ... & J. J. Ferreira. *RMS*, **16**(8), 2577-2595 (2022)
8. L. J. McGowan, R. Powell & D. P. French. *BJHP*, **25**(3), 677-694 (2020)
9. S. Chestnut Greitens. *AS*, **62**(5-6), 751-781 (2022)
10. K. Srikanth. *BMY*, **16**(2), 87-103 (2023)
11. K. Stallard & G. Rozman. *KEI EB*, 78 (2023)
12. S. R. Nagy & H. Nguyen. *Stosunki Międzynarodowe-IR*, **3**(4), 4 (2023)
13. Z. Liu & M. Shu. *CISR*, 1, (2023)
14. D. Sacks. What Is China Learning from Russia's War in Ukraine? *FA*, 16, (2022).
15. A. Mendez, F. J. Forcadell & K. Horiachko. *ABM*, **21**(4), 488-496 (2022).
16. S. Malle. *JES*, **8**(2), 136-150(2017).
17. A. Korolev. *IP*, **57**(5), 760-789 (2020).